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Adiponectin-induced ceramidase signaling in energy homeostasis
William L. Holland, Jonathan Y. Xia et al.
Adiponectin and its signaling through the receptors AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 rank highly in the ongoing search for the holy grail that might improve insulin sensitivity, modulating inflammatory responses, and regulating energy metabolism in patients with obesity and/or Type 2 Diabetes (T2D). Adiponectin is secreted by adipose tissue and binds to its ubiquitously expressed receptors AdipoR1 and AdipoR2. Over-expression of adiponectin promotes insulin action and improves insulin resistance as well as suppressing inflammatory responses. Over 15 years ago, Philipp Scherer and colleagues demonstrated that intraperitoneal injection of full-length adiponectin into mice reduced plasma glucose levels and suppressed gluconeogenesis, revealing the liver as a major target tissue of adiponectin [1]. Since then, many studies have tried to delineate the molecular mechanisms and signal transduction pathways behind this seemingly wonder adipokine, with a view to the development of novel therapeutics to treat T2D.

In this issue of Molecular Metabolism, Holland and colleagues studied transgenic mouse models that over-express AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 in adipose tissue and liver to assess the impact of AdipoR signaling on glucose and lipid metabolism [2]. This is the first time the over-expression of the receptors could be controlled by using an inducible tetracycline element, which allowed the pathway to be switched on following full maturation. Holland et al.’s work focuses on the effects of enhanced ceramidase activity as a result of enhanced adiponectin signaling, supporting their previous work [3]. In the present study, they demonstrate that over-expression of AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 improves whole body glucose metabolism and hepatic insulin sensitivity and opposes hepatic steatosis in obesity.

In their previous work, Holland and colleagues demonstrated that intraperitoneal injection of full-length adiponectin into mice reduced plasma glucose levels and suppressed gluconeogenesis, revealing the liver as a major target tissue of adiponectin [1]. Since then, many studies have tried to delineate the molecular mechanisms and signal transduction pathways behind this seemingly wonder adipokine, with a view to the development of novel therapeutics to treat T2D.

In an attempt to decipher the molecular mechanisms that would link increased adiponectin-receptor-signaling with improved glucose homeostasis, the key fuel sensing kinase AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) appeared to be a logical target. Indeed, it was shown more than a decade ago that binding of adiponectin to its receptors AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 results in the activation of AMPK, which stimulates glucose utilization, suppressing hepatic gluconeogenesis and de novo lipogenesis, and promotes fatty acid oxidation [4].

Holland and colleagues have previously shown, however, that the activation of ceramidase downstream of adiponectin binding to its receptors is independent of the activation of AMPK [3]. Acid ceramidase hydrolyzes ceramides to sphingosine and free fatty acids. When sphingosine is phosphorylated by sphingosine kinase, sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) is produced which then acts as signaling molecule itself. Indeed, other groups have shown that S1P overexpression prevents ceramide accumulation and ameliorates muscle insulin resistance in high-fat diet-fed mice [5]. Overexpression of acid ceramidase upstream of S1P appears to have the same effect within adipose tissue in preventing hepatic steatosis and systemic insulin resistance (Figure 1) [6].

Why is hydrolysis of ceramides beneficial when regulating insulin sensitivity? Ceramides are an important key factor in understanding regulation of weight gain and glucose intolerance as they increase during obesity and promote insulin resistance. Ceramides are synthesized by six ceramide synthase enzymes (CerS). Overexpression of CerS6, for example, correlates with insulin resistance, and CerS6-deficient (CerS6/D) mice exhibit reduced C16:0 ceramides and are protected from high-fat-diet-induced obesity and glucose intolerance [7].

However, a key question remains: Is the activation of AMPK upon adiponectin signaling dependent on S1P being produced or will AMPK also be activated independently of ceramidase activation? If both act independently, and both events result in insulin-sensitizing, anti-inflammatory and anti-apoptotic actions, what is the reason for this parallel signaling? Holland and colleagues present further in this issue that in WT mice, injection of AdipoRon, an adiponectin receptor
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In an attempt to decipher the molecular mechanisms that would link increased adiponectin-receptor-signaling with improved glucose homeostasis, the key fuel sensing kinase AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) appeared to be a logical target. Indeed, it was shown more than a decade ago that binding of adiponectin to its receptors AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 results in the activation of AMPK, which stimulates glucose utilization, suppressing hepatic gluconeogenesis and de novo lipogenesis, and promotes fatty acid oxidation [4].

Holland and colleagues have previously shown, however, that the activation of ceramidase downstream of adiponectin binding to its receptors is independent of the activation of AMPK [3]. Acid ceramidase hydrolyzes ceramides to sphingosine and free fatty acids. When sphingosine is phosphorylated by sphingosine kinase, sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) is produced which then acts as signaling molecule itself. Indeed, other groups have shown that S1P overexpression prevents ceramide accumulation and ameliorates muscle insulin resistance in high-fat diet-fed mice [5]. Overexpression of acid ceramidase upstream of S1P appears to have the same effect within adipose tissue in preventing hepatic steatosis and systemic insulin resistance (Figure 1) [6].

Why is hydrolysis of ceramides beneficial when regulating insulin sensitivity? Ceramides are an important key factor in understanding regulation of weight gain and glucose intolerance as they increase during obesity and promote insulin resistance. Ceramides are synthesized by six ceramide synthase enzymes (CerS). Overexpression of CerS6, for example, correlates with insulin resistance, and CerS6-deficient (CerS6/D) mice exhibit reduced C16:0 ceramides and are protected from high-fat-diet-induced obesity and glucose intolerance [7].

However, a key question remains: Is the activation of AMPK upon adiponectin signaling dependent on S1P being produced or will AMPK also be activated independently of ceramidase activation? If both act independently, and both events result in insulin-sensitizing, anti-inflammatory and anti-apoptotic actions, what is the reason for this parallel signaling? Holland and colleagues present further in this issue that in WT mice, injection of AdipoRon, an adiponectin receptor
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agonist, resulted in a severe increase in ceramidase activity from liver lysates, indicating that adiponectin agonism may be a beneficial therapeutic for the treatment of hyperglycemia and function via a ceramide-lowering mechanism. Can the positive effects of adiponectin binding to its receptors be used in treating obese and insulin resistant patients as well as patients with obesity induced non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) or the more severe non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)? One challenge that remains is that the Kd for small molecules like AdipoRon binding to AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 is much higher than that of the full-length domain of adiponectin [8], so a high-affinity small-molecule activating the adiponectin cascade remains to be identified. Another open question remaining is the effect of constant over-activation of AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 when used as therapeutic intervention. Adiponectin is abundant in the bloodstream so the number of receptors appear to regulate the intensity of downstream activation, as seen by the results of Holland et al. in this issue [2]. It is possible, therefore, that constant over-activation of the receptors might result in a negative feedback loop that impacts receptor expression levels and, thereby, reduces the number of receptors over time. While the search for new drugs to treat T2D and fatty liver disease continues, one intervention that also improves insulin resistance and decreases fatty liver is physical exercise. It has been shown that AMPK is markedly up-regulated in skeletal muscle upon exercise [9]. In addition, sphingosine as well as S1P levels increase in the circulation following exercise [10]. Hence, exercise seems to be a therapeutic intervention that taps into the same pathways as over-expression of acid ceramidase or AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 receptors to regulate energy metabolism. This raises the question whether the double action of a receptor agonist plus the implementation of a regular exercise regime might lead to promising results in treating obese and insulin resistant patients as well as conditions like NAFLD or NASH. In conclusion, this recent study by Holland et al. [2] certainly supports further the idea that this pathway is a promising future therapeutic target for treatment of obesity-related metabolic disease.
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Adiponectin and the signaling induced by its cognate receptors, AdipoR1 and AdipoR2, have garnered attention for their ability to promote insulin sensitivity and oppose steatosis. Activation of these receptors promotes the deacylation of ceramide, a lipid metabolite that appears to play a causal role in impairing insulin signaling.

Methods: Here, we have developed transgenic mice that overexpress AdipoR1 or AdipoR2 under the inducible control of a tetracycline response element. These represent the first inducible genetic models that acutely manipulate adiponectin receptor signaling in adult mouse tissues, which allows us to directly assess AdipoR signaling on glucose and lipid metabolism.

Results: Overexpression of either adiponectin receptor isoform in the adipocyte or hepatocyte is sufficient to enhance ceramidase activity, whole body glucose metabolism, and hepatic insulin sensitivity, while opposing hepatic steatosis. Importantly, metabolic improvements fail to occur in an adiponectin knockout background. When challenged with a leptin-deficient genetic model of type 2 diabetes, AdipoR2 expression in adipose or liver is sufficient to reverse hyperglycemia and glucose intolerance.

Conclusion: These observations reveal that adiponectin is critical for AdipoR-induced ceramidase activation which enhances hepatic glucose and lipid metabolism via rapidly acting “cross-talk” between liver and adipose tissue sphingolipids.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of adipocyte-specific secreted molecules, termed adipokines, has dispelled the notion of adipose tissue as an inert storage depot for lipids, and highlighted its role as an active endocrine organ that monitors and alters whole-body metabolism and maintains energy homeostasis. Since its identification in the mid-90’s [20], the adipokine adiponectin (also known as Acrp30, AdipoQ and GBP28) has become best known as a regulator of insulin sensitivity. The two adiponectin receptor isoforms, AdipoR1 and AdipoR2, previously have been shown to be associated with increases in activities of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), but AMPK can be activated through increasing the local S1P pool. Thus, this process appears to be a proximal event in adiponectin signaling, since it is a necessary step in adiponectin’s induction of AMPK activation. These data support a previous model based on data from a heterologous system that connected the family of Progesterone and AdipoQ receptors (PAQRs), which includes AdipoR1 and AdipoR2, with ceramidase activity [10,28] and suggested a revised view of adiponectin signaling with sphingolipid metabolism at its core. Sphingolipids, such as ceramides and glucosylceramides, are an important class of bioactive lipids. The levels of these lipids change as...
a function of adipose tissue mass and functionality, and are partially driven by cellular availability of palmitoyl-CoA. Aberrant accumulation of ceramide, glucosylceramide, and GM3 ganglioside has been implicated in a multitude of metabolic processes, including atherosclerosis, insulin resistance, lipotoxic heart failure, β-cell apoptosis, and β-cell dysfunction (reviewed in [7]). In stark opposition, the phosphorylated sphingoid base Sphinogosine 1-phosphate (S1P) is a potent inducer of proliferation and inhibitor of apoptosis [24]. The conversion of ceramide to S1P consists of deacylation of ceramide by ceramidase enzymes and a subsequent phosphorylation of sphingosine by one of two sphingosine kinase isoforms [21]. The opposing nature and simple 2-step conversion-process separating these lipids has led to speculation that the dynamic ratio of ceramide:S1P may constitute a physiological rheostat regulating in numerous cellular processes [24].

To gain further insights into the local physiological consequences of adiponectin and Adipor-induced ceramidase activation we have embarked on two parallel approaches. Using a novel doxycycline-inducible model to allow for tissue-specific overexpression of acid ceramidase, we have determined that ceramidase activation in adipose or liver is sufficient to prevent or reverse diet-induced steatosis, insulin resistance, and glucose intolerance [29]. Here we have generated similar models allowing for Adipor1 or Adipor2 to be expressed under the control of a tetracycline response element (TRE-AdipoR1 or TRE-AdipoR2). We use these in order to determine which adiponectin receptor may have the most beneficial effects on glucose tolerance and whole body insulin sensitivity, and to compare and contrast adiponectin receptor action with a lysosomal ceramidase that does not promote S1P accumulation. Furthermore, we determine whether targeting of adiponectin receptors within hepatocytes or adipocytes provides the greatest metabolic improvements and evaluate the effects of adiponectin receptor agonists for their ability to faithfully recapitulate these effects and further enhance them in transgenic mice.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Animals

All animal experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas. TRE-AdipoR1 or TRE-AdipoR2 mice were generated by subcloning the murine adiponectin receptor gene into a plasmid containing the TetO element. Transgene-plasmid containing the TetO element. Following linearization, the construct was injected into C57/Bl6-derived blastocysts. Transgene-generated similar models allowing for AdipoRon studies, mice were injected IP with 5 mg/kg of AdipoRon (Cayman) as previously described [17]. Serum glucose was monitored for 2 h post-injection.

2.2. Neutral ceramidase activity assay

Neutral ceramidase activity was determined by using NBD C12 ceramide as we have previously described [6].

2.3. Systemic tests

Glucose (2.5 g/kg, oral), insulin (0.75 U/kg, IP) and triglyceride (15 uL/g 20% intralipid, oral) were administered for tolerance tests as we previously described [29]. Hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps were performed on conscious, unrestrained male C57 black WT and Alb-AC mice, as previously described [6,11].

2.4. Quantitative real-time PCR

Tissues were excised from mice and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was isolated following tissue homogenization in Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) using a TissueLyser (MagNA Lyser, Roche) then isolated using an RNase RNA extraction kit (Qiagen). The quality and quantity of the RNA was determined by absorbance at 260/280 nm. cDNA was prepared by reverse transcribing 1 ug of RNA with an iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (BioRad). Results were calculated using the threshold cycle method [14], with β-actin used for normalization.

2.5. Histology, immunohistochemistry (IHC)

The relevant adipose and liver tissues were excised and fixed in 10% PBS-buffered formalin for 24 h. Following paraffin embedding and sectioning (5 μm), tissues were stained with H&E.

2.6. Immunoblotting

Frozen tissue was homogenized in TNET buffer (50 mM Tris—HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma—Aldrich) and protease inhibitors (Roche) and then centrifuged to remove any adipose layer present. After the addition of Triton X-100 (final concentration of 1%), protein concentrations were determined using a bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA) kit (Pierce). Proteins were resolved on 4—20% TGX gel (Bio-Rad) then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Protran), pAkt (Ser473, 4060) and total Akt (2920) (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) were used (1:1,000) for insulin signaling studies. Primary antibodies were detected using secondary IgG labeled with infrared dyes emitting at 700 nm (926-32220) or 800 nm (926-32211) (both at 1:5,000 dilutions) (Li-Cor Bioscience) and then visualized on a Li-Cor Odyssey infrared scanner (Li-Cor Bioscience). The scanned data were analyzed and quantitated using Odyssey Version 2.1 software (Li-Cor Bioscience).

2.7. Lipid quantifications

Sphingolipids were quantified as described previously by LC/ESI/MS/MS using a Shimadzu Nexera X2 UHPLC system coupled to a Shimadzu LCMS-8050 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer [8]. Lipid species were identified based on their molecular mass and fragmentation patterns, and verified by lipid standards. Diacylglycerol was quantified by direct-infusion mass spectrometry using an AB Sciex 5600+, as previously described [29].

2.8. AdipoRon treatment

Mice were injected IP with 5 mg/kg of AdipoRon (Cayman) as previously described [17]. Serum glucose was monitored for 2 h post-injection.
2.9 Statistics
All results are provided as means ± s.e.m. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism. Differences between the two groups over time (indicated in the relevant figure legends) were determined by a two-way analysis of variance for repeated measures. For comparisons between two independent groups, a Student’s t test was used. Significance was accepted at $P < 0.05$.

3. RESULTS

We recently reported that FGF21 rapidly promotes adiponectin secretion and lowers hepatic ceramides. Curiously, FGF21 promoted an increase in accumulation of ceramides (Supplemental Figure 1A) and glucosylceramides (Supplemental Figure 1B) within the adipose tissue. As opposed to an increase in ceramidase activity in the liver (Supplemental Figure 1C, top), ceramidase activity in the adipose tissue was decreased by FGF21 (Supplemental Figure 1C, bottom). As this coincides with a decrease in adiponectin retained within the adipocyte, these data (and others) prompted us to consider if adiponectin receptors promote ceramidase activity within adipocytes to convey adiponectin actions within adipose tissue.

3.1 Overexpression of adiponectin receptors-1 or -2 in the adipose tissue reduces both adipose and hepatic ceramide levels and improves whole-body glucose and lipid homeostasis

Following a cross to our established Adiponectin-rtTA (ART) tetracycline transactivator mouse (Supplemental Figure 1D), founder lines (R1-Art or R2-Art) for TRE-AdipoR1 and TRE-AdipoR2 were screened for inducible-expression in response to a doxycycline-supplemented diet (200 mg/kg). For TRE-AdipoR2, founder lines were identified which allowed for an 8.6 fold increase in AdipoR2 mRNA levels, which required the presence of doxycycline and an ART allele (Supplemental Figure 1E). Following 10 days of doxycycline chow, ceramidase activity was increased in mesenteric and gonadal fat pads of Art-R1 and Art-R2 mice, without altering ceramidase activity in liver (Figure 1A). Under these conditions, the concentration of each major chain length of ceramide was diminished in mesenteric fat by AdipoR2 overexpression (Supplemental Figure 1F).

To evaluate the potential for adiponectin receptors to maintain glucose homeostasis via local signaling within the adipose tissue, we challenged Art-R1 and Art-R2 mice with 8 weeks of high fat diet (HFD) and 10 additional days of high fat diet supplemented with doxycycline (200 mg/kg) (HFD-dox). Prior to doxycycline, Art-R2 mice and wildtype mice displayed identical degrees of diet-induced glucose intolerance (Supplemental Figure 1G). However, after 10 days of doxycycline, Art-R2 mice had markedly improved glucose tolerance during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) compared to wildtype littermates (Figure 1B). Lower serum insulin levels were measured for Art-R2 mice during fasting and glucose tolerance tests, which suggests improved insulin sensitivity (Supplemental Figure 1H). The rate of glucose disappearance in Art-R2 was not significantly different from wildtype mice (Supplemental Figure 1I), suggesting minimal effects on muscle insulin sensitivity. However, HFD-dox-fed Art-R1 (Figure 1C) and Art-R2 (Figure 1D) mice had substantially decreased blood glucose levels after insulin injection during an insulin tolerance test (ITT), suggesting enhanced insulin sensitivity in several other tissues. In order to unambiguously assess insulin sensitivity in Art-R1 and Art-R2 mice, we performed hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies in cohorts of Art-R1, Art-R2, and WT littermate animals. The glucose infusion rate needed to maintain euglycemic conditions (149.9 ± 3.34 mg/dL R1wt vs 144.72 ± 3.01 mg/dL for Art-R1; 149.8 ± 3.13 mg/dL for R2wt versus 138.8 ± 5.78 mg/dL for Art-R2) was increased in both Art-R1 and Art-R2 mice compared to their littermate controls (Figure 1E, left panel), demonstrating that whole-body insulin sensitivity is improved. Whole body glucose disposal was not altered, suggesting minimal effects on muscle insulin action. At the same time, hepatic glucose production under hyperinsulinemic clamp conditions was suppressed more efficiently in Art-R2 mice compared to WT littermates (Figure 1E, right panel). These data suggest that adipocyte-specific overexpression of Adipor2 attenuates diet-induced systemic insulin resistance by improving insulin sensitivity in the liver. Improvements in insulin sensitivity could not be explained by body weight (34.44 ± 2.48 g R1-wt versus 34.14 ± 5.94 g Art-R1; 39.72 ± 1.57 g for R2-wt versus 37.82 ± 2.56 g for Art-R2), glucose, or insulin levels achieved in the clamped state. The longer duration of dietary administration in Art-R2 experiments (15 weeks) prevents reasonable comparison to Art-R1 clamps performed 8 weeks after initiating high fat diet.

Adiponectin knockout mice appear to have aberrant lipolysis[18], which is particularly evident in the relative absence of insulin[36,37]. When orally challenged with triglyceride, Art-R1 and Art-R2 mice show improved clearance of lipid from the bloodstream; baseline circulating triglycerides were significantly lower in Art-R1 transgenic mice (p < 0.05) and trended lower in Art-R2 transgenic mice (p = 0.057) as compared to wildtype mice (Figure 1F). Moreover, hepatic triglyceride accumulation is also diminished in Art-R1 and Art-R2 mice (Figure 1G).

Overexpression of Adipor1/R2 in adipose tissue results in significantly lowered levels of ceramide species in the mesenteric (mWAT) and subcutaneous (sWAT) adipose depots following HFD-dox treatment. In Art-R1 mice, C18:0 ceramide levels were significantly reduced in both the mWAT and sWAT. As for the Art-R2 mice, C16:0, C18:0, and C20:0 species were significantly lower in mWAT and sWAT (Figure 1H). Glucosylceramides levels were also significantly lowered in adipose tissue of Art-R2 mice (Supplemental Figure 1J). This may suggest that AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 recruit ceramidases with different chain length specificities. Since acid ceramidase overexpression in adipose tissue prompts decreased circulating and hepatic ceramides[29], we addressed whether AdipoR1/2 related ceramidase activation could also diminish ceramides in serum and liver. Indeed, Art-R1 and Art-R2 mice have lower circulating ceramides for the majority of all major ceramide species, especially C16:0 ceramides (Figure 1I). The transgenic mice also displayed significantly (p < 0.05) lower C16 and C18 ceramides in the liver (Figure 1J).

3.2 Overexpression of adiponectin receptor-1 and -2 in the liver reduces hepatic ceramide levels and improves total body glucose and lipid homeostasis

We and others have previously shown that the adiponectin receptors AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 mediate the anti-diabetic metabolic actions of adiponectin. To investigate the liver-specific actions of adiponectin we generated an inducible, liver-specific AdipoR1 (Alb-R1) and AdipoR2 (Alb-R2) mouse, which combines three transgenic lines: the albumin promoter-driven Cre line, a transgenic line carrying a Rosa26 promoter-driven loxP-stop-loxP-reverse tetracycline-controlled transactivator (rtTA) gene (Rosa26-loxP-stop-loxP-rtTA), and a Tet-responsive AdipoR1 (TRE-R1) or AdipoR2 (TRE-R2) (Supplemental Figure 2A). Upon doxycycline induction, we found that ceramidase activity in liver lysates of Alb-R2 mice to be ~2 times that of WT (Supplemental Figure 2B).

To test whether the increased adiponectin receptor activity has a functional impact on ceramide levels in hepatocytes, we challenged the WT and Alb-R1 and -R2 mice with a high fat diet (60% calories...
from fat) containing 200 mg/kg doxycycline (HFD-dox). After 8 weeks of HFD-dox exposure, wildtype mice showed a 2.4 fold increase in hepatic ceramides compared to chow-fed controls (Supplemental Figure 2C). Both Alb-R1 and Alb-R2 mice showed a significant reduction in C16:0 hepatic ceramide species (Figure 2A). In parallel, a significant lowering of C16:0 and C18:0 ceramide species was also observed in the serum (data not shown). Interestingly, AdipoR2 overexpression, but not AdipoR1, also resulted in an increase in hepatic sphingosine-1-phosphate (Figure 2A). Our recent work has shown that alterations in hepatic ceramides levels can result in changes in adipose tissue ceramide levels. Hepatic overexpression of AdipoR2 resulted in a corresponding lowering of adipose lactosyl and glucosyl ceramide species (Figure 2B). Liver overexpression of AdipoR1 resulted in a corresponding lowering of adipose lactosyl and glucosyl ceramide species (Figure 2B).

To determine the role of hepatic overexpression of AdipoR1 and R2 in diet-induced obesity and associated metabolic disorders, we exposed Alb-R1, Alb-R2, and WT littermate control mice to HFD-dox for 10 weeks. Body weights of the mice were monitored on a weekly basis during the HFD feeding period. We observed similar weight gain curves for the Alb-R1, Alb-R2, and WT mice and comparable body weights at the end of the 10 weeks of HFD-dox exposure (Supplemental Figure 2E). However, compared to WT controls, Alb-R1 mice exhibited reduced blood glucose levels during the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) (Figure 2C), indicating an improvement in systemic glucose tolerance. Additionally, the plasma insulin levels during the OGTT were also lower in the Alb-R1 mice (Figure 2D). Similarly, Alb-R2 mice also exhibited marked lowering of serum glucose levels during the OGTT, while also exhibiting lowered plasma insulin levels compared to WT controls (Figure 2E and F). Both Alb-R1 and Alb-R2 transgenics required a higher glucose infusion rate to achieve a euglycemia of 120 mg/dL during the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp compared to their WT littermates. Both Alb-R1 and Alb-R2 males were older than Alb-R1 mice at the time of clamp, which likely explains the more severe insulin resistance. Whole body glucose disposal was not altered (Supplemental Figure 2E), suggesting minimal effects on muscle insulin action. Hepatic glucose production under hyperinsulinemic clamp conditions was suppressed more efficiently in Alb-R1 and Alb-R2 mice compared to WT littermates.
doxygen levels are inversely correlated with hepatic triglyceride accumulation, a process that causes the accumulation of lipids in the liver. Considering that serum adiponectin levels are substantially less in adiponectin knockout mice (APN KO) previously generated in our lab [16], we expected both the Alb-R1 and Alb-R2 mouse livers to show almost a 50% decrease in hepatic triglyceride accumulation compared to WT mice (Figure 2J). Under these conditions of diet-induced obesity, we found that Alb-R1 and Alb-R2 mice both showed significantly greater neutral ceramidase activity in the liver as compared to WT controls (Figure 2K). Moreover, following injection of the adiponectin receptor agonist AdipoRon, livers from wt mice showed a 76% increase in ceramidase activity from liver lysates. These data suggest that adiponectin receptor agonism may be a beneficial therapeutic for the treatment of hyperglycemia and function via a ceramide-lowering mechanism.

3.3. The metabolic improvements of adiponectin receptor overexpression in the liver are adiponectin dependent

adiponectin, we challenged R1-KO and R2-KO mice and APN KO mice with 10 weeks of HFD-dox and quantified hepatic ceramides in both groups. We found that there were no significant differences in hepatic ceramide species between R1-KO, R2-KO, and their APN KO littermate controls (Figure 3A). We observed that there were no significant differences in weight gain between the APN KO and R2-KO during the 10 week period of HFD-dox feeding, and both groups had comparable body weights at the end of the 10 weeks of HFD-dox feeding (Supplemental Figure 3A). Metabolically, both groups developed insulin resistance and hyperglycemia as a result of the HFD-dox feeding. R1-KO and R2-KO mice did not exhibit any improvements in glucose homeostasis during the OGTT at the end of the HFD-dox challenge compared to the APN KO mice (Figure 3B,C). Additionally, R2-KO mice had higher, but insignificant, levels of plasma insulin during the OGTT compared to its APN KO counterpart (Supplemental Figure 3B). Insulin signaling in the liver of R2-KO and APN KO mice was assessed by insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of Akt. After 15 min of insulin stimulation, p-Akt levels in R2-KO mouse livers are similar to the levels in APN KO, thus indicating that insulin signaling was not improved (Supplemental Figure 3C). Overall, in the absence of adiponectin, liver specific overexpression of AdipoR2 loses its beneficial effects on glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity that was previously observed on an adiponectin-wildtype background.

In order to assess whether there were improvements in systemic lipid homeostasis in the R1-KO and R2-KO mice, we performed a triglyceride clearance test by orally gavaging lipid to R1-KO, R2-KO and APN KO mice. Although R2-KO peaked at lower levels than APN KO the overall rate of triglyceride clearance between R2-KO and APN KO was not increased (Figure 3D). No changes in serum triglyceride clearance were observed between R1-KO mice and APN KO littermate controls (Figure 3E). Livers harvested from the R2-KO and APN KO mice after 10 weeks of HFD-dox were not different histologically (Supplemental Figure 3D). Livers from both groups had hepatic steatosis as a result of the HFD challenge, and biochemical analysis of hepatic triglyceride content of R2-KO and APN-KO livers showed that both groups had similar levels of hepatic triglyceride accumulation. Therefore, in the absence of its ligand adiponectin, liver overexpression of AdipoR1 or AdipoR2 fails to improve total body lipid homeostasis and reduce hepatic triglyceride content.

Past work done by Okada-Iwabu and colleagues has shown that a small molecule that binds to and activates adiponectin receptors (AdipoRon) could ameliorate insulin resistance and glucose intolerance in mice fed a high fat diet [17] by similar cellular mechanisms as adiponectin. Thus, we tested whether providing AdipoRon to APN R1-KO, R2-KO, and APN KO mice would elicit a similar effect on their glucose homeostasis. We fed R1-KO, R2-KO, and APN KO a HFD-dox for 10 weeks and at the end of the 10 weeks, AdipoRon was injected to assess effects on glucose and ceramide levels. Two hours after AdipoRon injection, we observed that R2-KO mice had a more robust lowering of serum glucose (73% of basal) compared to APN KO controls (92% of basal) (Figure 3F). No changes were observed in R1-KO mice and APN KO littermate controls post-injection (Figure 3G). Only in R2-KO mice did we observe notable changes in hepatic ceramide content following AdipoRon, as C16 and C18 ceramides were reduced by 25%. Thus, the metabolically-beneficial effect of liver AdipoR2 overexpression on systemic glucose homeostasis is restored upon stimulation by the ligand AdipoRon; however, these data do not rule out the possibility that AdipoRon also targets AdipoR1.

3.4. AdipoR2 overexpression in adipose tissue or liver is capable of rescuing diabetic phenotype in ob/ob mice

Past studies have shown that in insulin-resistant ob/ob mice, the expression levels of both AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 are significantly decreased in tissues [25]. In order to determine whether overexpression of adiponectin receptors in adipose tissue or liver is capable of ameliorating the insulin resistance and glucose intolerance exhibited

Figure 3: The metabolic improvements of adiponectin receptor overexpression in the liver are adiponectin dependent. A) Analysis of liver ceramide species from R2-KO mice and APN KO littersmates. B) Circulating glucose levels were measured during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) for R2-KO and APN KO mice (2.5 g/kg glucose per oral gavage). C) Circulating glucose levels were measured during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) for R1-KO and APN KO mice (2.5 g/kg glucose per oral gavage). D) Circulating triglyceride (TG) levels were measured during an oral TG clearance test (20% intralipid, 15 mL/g body weight; single gavage) in R2-KO and APN KO littermate controls. E) Circulating triglyceride (TG) levels were measured during an oral TG clearance test (20% intralipid, 15 mL/g body weight; single gavage) in R1-KO and APN KO littermate controls. F) Serum glucose levels were measured before and after IP injection of AdipoRon (5 mg/kg) in APN KO and R2-KO mice after 10 weeks of HFD-dox challenge. G) Serum glucose levels were measured before and after IP injection of AdipoRon (5 mg/kg) in APN KO and R2-KO mice after 10 weeks of HFD-dox challenge. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 by Student’s t test.
in ob/ob mice, we crossed the Art-R2 and Alb-R2 transgenic models into the ob/ob genetic background. In addition, we also bred adipose or liver-specific overexpression of acid ceramidase (Art-AC and Alb-AC respectively) into ob/ob genetic background to study whether direct lowering of ceramides in those metabolic tissues can rescue the ob/ob phenotype. Adipose-specific overexpression of R2 and AC, ob/obArt-R2 and ob/obArt-AC respectively, showed marked reductions in WAT ceramide levels after 10 days of induction with dox chow (Figure 4A). This was especially evident in mWAT where transgene expression was the highest. In addition, ob/obArt-R2 had a significant lowering of mWAT C16:0 ceramide species whereas ob/obArt-AC failed to exhibit a significant change in this chain length of ceramide. Moreover, deoxyceramide accumulation was reduced by AdipoR2 overexpression but not by AC overexpression (Supplemental Figure 4). The lowering of WAT deoxyceramide and C16:0 ceramide in ob/obArt-R2 corresponded with improvements in glucose homeostasis during an OGTT compared to ob/ob mice. ob/obArt-AC mice, however, did not exhibit any improvements in glucose homeostasis compared to ob/ob littermate controls (Figure 4B).

To test whether liver overexpression of AdipoR2 (ob/obAb-R2) or acid ceramidase (ob/obAb-AC) is capable of rescuing the ob/ob phenotype, we put ob/ob, ob/obAb-R2, and ob/obAb-AC mice on chow-dox diet for 10 days and then assessed glucose homeostasis with an OGTT. We found that ob/obAb-R2 mice have markedly lowered glucose levels during the OGTT compared to ob/ob control, indicating vast improvements in glucose clearance (Figure 4C). Although ob/obAb-AC exhibited significantly lowered basal serum glucose levels compared to ob/ob controls at some time points, the overall rate of glucose clearance in ob/obAb-AC was not changed compared to ob/ob mice (Figure 4C). In addition, ob/obAb-R2 and ob/obAb-AC had similar levels of serum insulin, which were lower compared to ob/ob during OGTT (Figure 4D). We also wanted to assess the effect of AdipoRon on ceramide lowering in the absence of leptin action. Two hours after injection with AdipoRon, leptin-deficient ob/ob mice show a marked injection in hepatic ceramide levels suggesting that the ceramide-lowering actions of adiponectin are intact with this small molecule receptor agonist (Figure 4E).

4. DISCUSSION

Here, we report the first mouse models demonstrating inducible overexpression of adiponectin receptors. Our inducible AdipoR models bypass the compensatory mechanisms and developmental issues present in constitutive models of adiponectin overexpression and give us the opportunity to study acute modifications of AdipoR induced metabolic improvements in adult mice. With this novel system, we demonstrate that the acute depletion of ceramide via adiponectin receptor expression and activation in hepatocytes or adipocytes of adult mice can decrease hepatic steatosis while simultaneously improving systemic glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity in adult mice with diet-induced obesity. These studies highlight the important role for adiponectin action within the adipocyte and further support the concept of prominent cross-talk between the liver and adipose tissue that allows for equilibration of sphingolipids between the two tissues.

These studies link adiponectin-induced ceramidase activity to metabolic improvements of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and systemic insulin resistance in rodents. Similar to our recent report of acid ceramidase overexpression we find that adiponectin receptors functionally prevent accumulation of C16 and C18 ceramides in DIO mice.

Figure 4: Overexpression of adiponectin receptors, but not acid ceramidase, rescues glucose homeostasis in ob/ob mice. A) Ceramide species of the indicated chain lengths were quantified in mesenteric and inguinal adipose depots from ob/ob mice following 2 weeks of dox-induced overexpression to drive Art-AdipoR2 or Art-Acid Ceramidase (AC). Data were quantified and expressed as % of wildtype ob/ob control. B) Circulating glucose levels were measured during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) (2.5 g/kg glucose per oral gavage) in ob/ob, ob/ob Art-AC, and ob/ob Art-AdipoR2 transgenic mice. C) Circulating glucose levels were measured during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) (2.5 g/kg glucose per oral gavage) after 10 days of dox-induced expression of AdipoR2 or Acid Ceramidase in the liver of ob/ob mice (* depicts ob/ob vs ob/obAb-R2; # depicts ob/ob vs ob/obAb-AC). D) Insulin levels before and during the OGTT were measured by ELISA. E) Total ceramide levels were quantified in livers from ob/ob mice following 2 h of AdipoRon or PBS control treatment. *P < 0.05 by Student’s t test.
Brief Communication

We found that C16:0 and C18:0 ceramide levels to be ~60% of WT in livers of Alb-R1 and AlbR2 mice, which are the ceramide subpecies that most prominently contribute to the development of NAFLD and hepatic insulin resistance. Consistent with our findings, recent work has shown that mice with ceramide synthase 6 deficiency (cerS6<sup>−/−</sup>) exhibit reduced C16:0 ceramide levels and are protected from high fat diet-induced obesity and glucose intolerance [27]. By contrast, heterozygous deletion of ceramide synthase 2 promotes a paradoxical increase in C16:0 ceramides to confer greater susceptibility to diet induced insulin resistance [19].

Preclinical models indicate roles for adiponectin in the maintenance of hepatic lipid metabolism. Adiponectin overexpression prevents accumulation of triglycerides or the deleterious lipid metabolites diacylglycerols or ceramides [5,6]. Direct manipulation of adiponectin expression demonstrates a potential causal relationship between adiponectin signaling and steatosis [2]. Conversely, genetic ablation of adiponectin in leptin-deficient (ob/ob) mice further exacerbates hepatic triglyceride accumulation [4]. Hepatic steatosis is just an initial step in the progression of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), and can ultimately enhance risk for cirrhosis and hepatocarcinoma. Adiponectin null mice develop fibrotic steatohepatitis and adenomas when maintained on high fat diets for 48 weeks [1] but not in response to shorter-term diet administration [13,35]. Administration of recombinant adiponectin in rodents results in beneficial effects on lipid metabolism, such as enhancing lipid clearance and increasing fatty acid oxidation in muscle and liver [30,33]. Delivery of adenoviral adiponectin can attenuate hepatomegaly, steatosis, and liver injury in mice with NASH [31]. Our studies suggest that adiponectins’ anti-steatotic actions occur by local effects of ceramide-lowering in the liver, where targeted degradation of ceramides with acid ceramidase decreases hepatic lipid uptake and lipogenic gene expression [29]. Additionally, adiponectins’ effects locally within the adipocyte contribute to enhanced lipid clearance mediated locally via AdipoR1 and AdipoR2, protecting non-adipocytes from excess lipid exposure.

Studies with genetic manipulation of adiponectin receptors show similar trends as adiponectin itself, and human single nucleotide polymorphisms in AdipoR1 or AdipoR2 are significantly associated with hepatic triglyceride accumulation [9,22] and enhanced risk for cirrhosis [15]. Adenoviral-mediated overexpression of either adiponectin receptor is sufficient to stimulate lipid oxidation and diminish hepatic triglyceride content [34]. By contrast, mice lacking both isoforms of the adiponectin receptors display elevated hepatic triglyceride accumulation. Our data suggest that activation of either isoform of adiponectin receptor will decrease hepatic steatosis and provide glycemic benefit. These studies provide strong preclinical evidence that adiponectin receptor agonism may be a powerful therapeutic tool. We show here for the first time that AdipoRon stimulates a ceramidase activity and can activate the adiponectin receptors to induce its metabolic beneficial effects when adiponectin is not present.

Importantly, the actions of adiponectin receptors critically rely on adiponectin for their functional lowering of ceramides and subsequent improvements in glucose and lipid homeostasis. This study adds strong in vivo genetic support that Adiponectins are indeed the cognate receptors that convey adiponectin actions. This challenges our previous notion that these receptors instead have a strong basal activity and suggest that it is very difficult to eliminate adiponectin from culture systems where it is present in FBS-containing medium, which is enriched in bovine adiponectin. Collectively, our data suggest that the lowering of ceramides is a critical player in adiponectin-induced improvements in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and hepatic insulin resistance in mice with diet-induced obesity. Our data also demonstrate that the induction of AC activity is insufficient to rescue metabolic syndrome in ob/ob mice, while AdipoR2 overexpression is sufficient. Several key differences in these two approaches have been noted. First, adiponectin receptors lower more chain lengths of ceramides with diet induced obesity, suggesting that it is a potential novel therapeutic target. Additionally, Adipor-induced ceramidase activity cleaves additional species like deoxyceramides, which may be more cytotoxic because of the limited pathways for metabolizing these alanine (rather than serine) derived sphingolipids. Unlike AC overexpression in the liver, AdipoR2 overexpression results in a significant increase in hepatic S1P levels. S1P has been shown in the past to induce AMPK, a major downstream effector of adiponectin [12]. Thus, a possible explanation of why AdipoR2 overexpression is capable of rescuing ob/ob phenotype is that it is an essential initiator of the broad spectrum of adiponectin action. Thus, orally active small-molecule adiponectin receptor agonists, such as AdipoRon, could potentially be an important therapeutic agent for patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, diabetes, or other comorbidities of the metabolic syndrome [17].
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